PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the	ENT COOPERATION 114	REC'D U 5 OCT 2005					
NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	Y	DCT					
To: ALAN D. MILLER AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LL 90 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10016	i wi	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	03 OCT 2005					
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	See paragraph 2 below					
96700/950 International application No. Inte	rnational filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
incinational approach		11 March 2004 (11.03.2004)					
PCT/US05/07365 07 International Patent Classification (IPC) or bo	March 2005 (07.03.2005) th national classification and IPC						
IPC(7): A61K 31/70; A01N 43/04; C07G 11/	00 and US Cl.: 514/44; 536/4.1, 10.8						
Applicant							
ALBERT EINSTEIN COLLEGE OF MEDIC	INE						
1. This opinion contains indications relating	to the following items:						
Box No. I Basis of the opin	nion						
Box No. II Priority							
Box No. III Non-establishme	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of unity of	Lack of unity of invention						
Box No. V Reasoned staten applicability; ci	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain docume	Certain documents cited						
Box No. VII Certain defects	Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Certain observa	tions on the international application						
International Preliminary Examining A Authority other than this one to be the that written opinions of this International	PEA and the chosen IPEA has notified I Searching Authority will not be so con	!					
If this opinion is, as provided above, c IPEA a written reply together, where mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or befor For further options, see Form PCT/ISA	e the expiration of 22 months from the	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the e the expiration of 3 months from the date of priority date, whichever expires later.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form P							
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Authorized of Jennifer Dun						
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. 571-272-0507					

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/07365

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/07365

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING	AUTHORITI	· l-t-iol
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule applicability; citations and expla	43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty nations supporting such statement	, inventive step or industrial
1. Statement		
	Claims 1-38	YES
Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	· NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>1-38</u>	YES
inventive step (10)	Claims NONE	NO
•	•	_YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-38	
	Claims NONE	
2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-38 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(effective to increase transcription of a gene in com)-(3), because the prior art does not teach pination with an agent capable of increasing	or fairly suggest the use of an agent g the production of the protein disrupted
by a mutation in the same gene.		
Claims 1-38 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(made or used in industry.), and thus have industrial applicability be	cause the subject matter claimed can be
made of about in investory		
•		
·		
	·	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/07365 -

		•	. I diona	An 1	tha	international	application
Box No.	VIII	Certain	opservations	OH	me	IIItel Dariona,	upr-
DOX NO.	Y A.L.A	O-01-00-					

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1-38 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because of the claims are not fully supported by the description. The description does not disclose the claimed invention in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for the claimed invention to be carried out by a person skilled in the art. The claims are drawn to or encompass the treatment of any disease comprising administering an agent effective to increase the transcription of a gene disrupted by a genetic mutation in combination with an agent that allows a functional protein to be expressed from the defective gene. Further, the claims are drawn to or encompass the administration to a subject an agent that activates a promoter of a gene disrupted by a mutation. The nature of the invention is complex in that the agents must act on the gene disrupted by a mutation. Further, the claims are drawn to or encompass the treatment of a genetic disease using the abovementioned method. The breadth of the claims further exacerbates the complexity of the invention. The specification teaches that ofloxacin and thioguanine are capable of increasing transcription from an atm promoter in an in vitro assay (e.g. paragraphs [0029]-[0040]; Table 2). The specification does not teach how to use ofloxacin and thioguanine to increase the expression of any gene that may be disrupted by a mutation. The specification teaches that agents may be identified using in vitro promoter assays. However, the use of in vitro cultures to model human disease can be unpredictable. For example, Stamatoyannopoulos teaches that compounds that induce fetal hemoglobin expression in patients do not induce fetal hemoglobin expression in clonal cultures when they are well controlled for maturation (e.g. page 262, left column, last paragraph). Thus, the results of an in vitro assay are not necessarily predictive of the effect in a subject. Furthermore, the use of nucleic acid molecules to correct a defect caused by a genetic mutation was underdeveloped and unpredictable at the time the invention was made. Parakh-Olmedo et al teach that oligonucleotide delivery must be optimized before gene repair is considered useful for clinical applications and that animal models must be tested to validate the overall approach (e.g. page 639, In brief). In view of the breadth of the claims and the lack of guidance provided by the specification as well as the unpredictability of the art, the skilled artisan would have required an undue amount of experimentation to make and/or use the claimed invention.